

# A dynamic formin-dependent deep F-actin network in axons

Archana Ganguly,<sup>1</sup> Yong Tang,<sup>1</sup> Lina Wang,<sup>1</sup> Kelsey Ladt,<sup>1,2</sup> Jonathan Loi,<sup>1</sup> Bénédicte Dargent,<sup>3</sup> Christophe Letourneau,<sup>3</sup> and Subhojit Roy<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology and <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093

<sup>3</sup>Aix Marseille Université, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Centre de Recherche en Neurobiologie et Neurophysiologie de Marseille (CRN2M) UMR7286, 13344 Marseille, France

Although actin at neuronal growth cones is well-studied, much less is known about actin organization and dynamics along axon shafts and presynaptic boutons. Using probes that selectively label filamentous-actin (F-actin), we found focal “actin hotspots” along axons—spaced ~3–4 μm apart—where actin undergoes continuous assembly/disassembly. These foci are a nidus for vigorous actin polymerization, generating long filaments spouting bidirectionally along axons—a phenomenon we call “actin trails.” Super-resolution microscopy reveals intra-axonal deep actin filaments in addition to the subplasmalemmal “actin rings” described recently. F-actin hotspots colocalize with stationary axonal endosomes, and blocking vesicle transport diminishes the actin trails, suggesting mechanistic links between vesicles and F-actin kinetics. Actin trails are formin—but not Arp2/3—dependent and help enrich actin at presynaptic boutons. Finally, formin inhibition dramatically disrupts synaptic recycling. Collectively, available data suggest a two-tier F-actin organization in axons, with stable “actin rings” providing mechanical support to the plasma membrane and dynamic “actin trails” generating a flexible cytoskeletal network with putative physiological roles.

## Introduction

Actin is a key conserved cytoskeletal component in eukaryotic cells. In axons, actin is enriched at growth cones where it is involved in navigation, signaling, and stabilization upon target innervation (Dent et al., 2011; Gomez and Letourneau, 2014). Although the biology of actin at this locale has been intensely investigated, even basic details of actin structure and function along axon shafts are unclear. Early ultrastructural studies in squid axons found abundant actin filaments, not only in the sub-axolemmal region (Hirokawa, 1982; Schnapp and Reese, 1982) but also deep within the axon shaft (Fath and Lasek, 1988; Bearer and Reese, 1999). However, most aspects of axonal actin have remained obscure for decades, perhaps as a result of technical issues related to imaging and preservation of these delicate cytoarchitectural elements. Recently, super-resolution imaging using stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) revealed periodic scaffolds of actin circumferentially wrapping underneath the axolemma in a “ladderlike, quasi-1D lattice” pattern—termed “actin rings” (Xu et al., 2013). The actin rings described by STORM are highly stable and likely play important scaffolding roles (Xu et al., 2013; Zhong et al., 2014); however, the microanatomy, dynamics, and putative physiological roles of deep axonal actin remain a mystery to this day.

In most cells, dynamic pools of deep cytoplasmic actin are involved in maintaining intracellular form and function (Michelot and Drubin, 2011). Indeed, a few studies hint that axons have dynamic actin pools as well. An early FRAP study with fluorescent-tagged actin saw recovery of the bleached zone in axons within minutes, suggesting a dynamic network (Okabe and Hirokawa, 1990). Other experiments have noted correlations of intermittent axonal actin dynamics with morphological fluctuations. Microscopic actin accumulations in distal axons coincided with filopodial extensions in developing neurons—called “actin patches” (Loudon et al., 2006), and curious actin-rich growth cone-like structures move very infrequently along axons (~1–2 every hour)—best described in young cultured neurons—called “actin waves” (Ruthel and Bunker, 1998; Flynn et al., 2009). Pulse-chase radiolabeling studies have shown that perikaryally synthesized actin is conveyed by slow axonal transport, also implying dynamism (Black and Lasek, 1979; Willard et al., 1979; Galbraith and Gallant, 2000). Actin is also highly enriched at presynapses, where it plays important structural and functional roles (Cingolani and Goda, 2008).

Though these studies suggest that axonal actin can be dynamic, it is unclear whether the intermittent and infrequent actin behaviors reported so far represent the steady-state situ-

Correspondence to Subhojit Roy: s1roy@ucsd.edu

Abbreviations used in this paper: BFA, Brefeldin-A; CH, calponin homology; DIV, day in vitro; NPYss, Neuropeptide-Y signal sequence; ROI, region of interest; STORM, stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy; UtR, utrophin.

ation in more mature axons. Moreover, the perceived anatomy of putative flexible actin networks is inconsistent with the stable actin rings described by STORM. Finally, deep actin pools play numerous physiological roles in a variety of cell types, but physiological consequences of axonal deep actin pools—if any—are unclear. Here, we use F-actin–selective probes to visualize axonal actin, using a multifaceted approach: low-light live imaging combined with quantitative biology tools and 3D STORM. Our experiments reveal a dynamic, previously uncharacterized population of deep axonal actin filaments distinct from subplasmalemmal actin rings.

## Results

### **“Actin trails”—an unrecognized dynamic pool of deep axonal actin**

Historically, a challenge in the actin field has been the imaging bulk cytoplasmic F-actin (Field and Lénárt, 2011). Although the classic approach of labeling monomeric actin with GFP/RFP has been fruitful in thin, spread-out growth cones, they are unsatisfactory for visualizing actin within axonal shafts as background fluorescence from free monomers typically overwhelms the signal. To selectively label F-actin, we used two established probes—EGFP tagged to the calponin homology (CH) domain of actin-binding protein utrophin (Utr), GFP:Utr-CH (Burkel et al., 2007), and LifeAct (Riedl et al., 2008). Data from both probes were comparable (see later), but the signal/noise ratio was much better with GFP:Utr-CH, probably because LifeAct also binds actin monomers (with an even higher affinity than filaments; Riedl et al., 2008). Our basic approach was to transfect cultured hippocampal neurons at 7–9 d *in vitro* (DIV; obtained from postnatal pups) with GFP:Utr-CH and image actin dynamics in axons (also cotransfected with soluble mRFP to visualize morphology). Protocols were optimized to minimize expression and maximize sensitivity of detection (Fig. S1; Materials and methods; and accompanying methods paper, Ladt et al., 2015). For these experiments, we focused on primary axons (not branches) with minimal anatomical variations that were away from cell bodies (35–150  $\mu$ m away from soma, mean = 95  $\mu$ m) and distal en passant boutons, as in our other studies (Scott et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2012; Fig. 1 A, see RFP image of selected axon at bottom).


Surprisingly, we saw rapid, bidirectional fluorescent plumes representing dynamic actin polymers in axons (actin trails) as shown in the still frames from an exemplary time lapse (Fig. 1 A, tips of trails marked with arrowheads; also see Video 1). A kymograph representing these dynamics is shown in Fig. 1 B (corresponding events in still frames and kymograph are labeled by similar arrowheads in Fig. 1, A and B). Note that actin trail kinetics appear as “fluorescent plumes” in the kymographs (Fig. 1 C, zoomed crops; and additional examples in Fig. S2 A). Other F-actin behaviors can be seen in these kymographs. Note “hotspots” of F-actin, regularly interspersed along the axon (Fig. 1 D, interrupted vertical lines in our kymographs, marked by arrowheads), indicating microscopic zones where actin is continuously polymerizing and depolymerizing (note that depolymerization would cause a loss of GFP:Utr-CH signal as the molecules diffuse away; Burkel et al., 2007). Upon closer examination, many of the actin trails appeared to emanate from (and occasionally collapse into) the F-actin hotspots (Fig. 1 D, asterisks mark the location of the hotspots on kymographs, and numbered arrows point to some examples of actin trails).

Quantitative data from these experiments are shown in Fig. 2. F-actin hotspots were distributed at  $3.6 \pm 1.8\text{--}\mu\text{m}$  intervals along axons (see Materials and methods for details) and lasted for an mean of  $\sim 30$  s (Fig. 2 A). Note that the frequencies of actin trails (Fig. 2 B) are orders of magnitude greater than the “actin waves” previously described in younger neurons (Flynn et al., 2009). Moreover, actin trails are not accompanied by axonal morphological fluctuations (as determined by simultaneous imaging of GFP:Utr-CH and soluble mRFP; see additional data linked to this article on the JCB DataViewer), and unlike actin waves, are independent of microtubules (see next section). Thus, the actin trails are clearly distinct from the intermittent actin dynamics described in previous studies (Ruthel and Bunker, 1998; Loudon et al., 2006). The polymers comprising the actin trails extended for tens of micrometers (Fig. 2 C), and their rate of extension was striking, with a mean of  $\sim 1\text{ }\mu\text{m/s}$  and a maximum rate of over  $2\text{ }\mu\text{m/s}$ . Many actin trails qualitatively appeared to arise from the hotspots. As both of these events could be readily visualized in kymographs (examples in Fig. 1, E and F), we quantified the relationship of actin trails with hotspots. Indeed as shown in Fig. 2 E,  $\sim 80\%$  of the actin trails emerged from hotspots, whereas  $\sim 60\%$  collapsed into them. Actin trails were also seen with LifeAct (Fig. S2, B and C).


Recently, super-resolution microscopy in cultured hippocampal axons using labeled phalloidin highlighted striking circumferential rings wrapping underneath the axonal plasmalemma (Xu et al., 2013; Lukinavičius et al., 2014; D’Este et al., 2015). The long dynamic axonal filaments seen in our imaging experiments don’t seem compatible with actin rings, so we performed 3D STORM of neurons labeled with phalloidin, focusing on axon segments similar to the ones we used for live imaging. Representative images from these experiments are shown in Fig. 3. Axon shafts emerging from the soma (Fig. 3, A–C) as well as thinner, more distal axons (Fig. 3, D–F) exhibited circumferential actin rings with a periodic spacing of  $192 \pm 6\text{ nm}$ , as previously described (Xu et al., 2013; D’Este et al., 2015). However, in addition to these periodic rings, axons also contained actin filaments that were longitudinally oriented along the axon shaft (Fig. 3, B, C, E, and F). XZ cross sections showed these filaments were unequivocally located deep inside the axoplasm, distinct from the subplasmalemmal actin rings (Fig. 3, B’–C’ and E’).

### **Local actin polymerization in axons—a putative vesicle–actin network**

Next, we asked whether the axonal F-actin dynamics were dependent on actin turnover. For these experiments, we treated axons with low levels of actin-modulating drugs, examining F-actin dynamics in the same axon before/after pharmacologic treatment. GFP:Utr-CH–transfected neurons were treated with nanomolar doses of latrunculin—a drug that sequesters actin monomers—or jasplakinolide, a drug that promotes actin polymerization by enhancing the rate of filament nucleation (Bubb et al., 1994; Morton et al., 2000). As shown in Fig. 4 A, latrunculin treatment caused a gradual attenuation in the polymerization rate of actin trails (note changing slopes in kymographs over time, indicating attenuated assembly), which was reversible upon drug washout (Fig. S2 D, axons corresponding to the kymographs). The slowing of actin polymerization most likely reflects diminished availability of monomers to the growing polymer, following monomer sequestration by latrunculin. On the other hand, jasplakinolide treatment led to an inhibition of



**Figure 1. F-actin dynamics in axons.** Neurons were transfected with GFP:Utr-CH to label F-actin (and soluble mRFP to visualize morphology), and F-actin dynamics in axons were imaged as described in methods. (A) Selected frames from a representative video showing anterograde (left) and retrograde (right) dynamics of actin polymers (dynamic tips marked by single/double arrowheads). Image far below shows soluble mRFP in the same axon. Elapsed time in seconds on left/right (see Video 1). (B and C) Kymograph of the video in A with first frame on top shown in B. Boxed ROIs are zoomed in C. Note unusual F-actin dynamics including diagonal, vectorial plumes of fluorescence (actin trails) and hotspots (vertical interrupted lines). Yellow and red arrowheads represent the same dynamic structures in A and B. Elapsed time is given in seconds on the left. (D) Cropped kymographs from other videos show focal F-actin hotspots (some marked by asterisks). Instances of actin trails emerging from—and collapsing into—hotspots can also be seen. Note trails marked by arrows 1, 2, 6, and 7 are emerging from hotspots. Antero, anterograde; Retro, retrograde.




**Figure 2. Quantitative analyses of F-actin hotspots and trails.** (A) Mean lifetime of F-actin hotspots was  $80.40 \pm 3.95$  s ( $n = 575$  events from 28 axons). (B) Mean number of F-actin trails (every 10 min of imaging) was  $20.35 \pm 1.98$  for anterograde events and  $14.92 \pm 1.35$  for retrograde events (992 events from 28 axons). (C) Mean total lengths of F-actin polymers were  $8.85 \pm 0.18$   $\mu\text{m}$  ( $n = 573$  events) and  $8.87 \pm 0.22$   $\mu\text{m}$  ( $n = 419$  events) for anterograde and retrograde trails, respectively. (D) Mean elongation rates of F-actin trails were  $0.99 \pm 0.01$   $\mu\text{m/s}$  in both directions. (E) On average,  $80.59 \pm 2.23\%$  F-actin trails emerged from hotspots ( $n = 36$  axons). (F) On average,  $63.00 \pm 2.80\%$  F-actin trails collapsed into hotspots ( $n = 36$  axons). Arrowheads and asterisks in E and F represent initiation/termination of actin trails and position of hotspots, respectively. All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM. Antero, anterograde; Retro, retrograde.

actin trails, along with stationary F-actin accumulations along former hotspots (Fig. 4 B), probably as a result of hyperstabilization of dynamic actin. Another actin disrupting agent cytochalasin-D also attenuated the actin trails (all data quantified in Fig. 4, D–G). Collectively, these data suggest that actin trails are generated by local polymerization at axonal hotspots.

Microtubules are the basis of almost all motility in axons, and an early ultrastructural study noted a physical approximation of microtubules and actin filaments within the axon shaft (Bearer and Reese, 1999). However, our experiments indicate that actin trails are largely independent of microtubules. First, treatment of axons with the microtubule-depolymerizing agent nocodazole—under conditions that block vesicle transport—had minimal effects on actin trails (Fig. 4, C–F; and Fig. S3 A). Even treatment with vincristine—a drug that fragments microtubule polymers, leading to tubulin paracrystals—had no effects

on actin trails (Fig. S3 B). These data indicate that microtubules are not required for initiating or sustaining the actin trails. Moreover, actin trails do not seem to assist conventional vesicle transport in any way, as treatment with jasplakinolide—a drug that almost completely blocks the actin trails (Fig. 4 B)—did not impede vesicle transport (Fig. S3 C). Also note that incubation with DMSO (used as controls in some experiments) had no effects on axonal F-actin dynamics (Fig. S3 D).

The actin hotspots appear critical for initiating the axonal F-actin network, but how is this local assembly regulated? Recent studies in mouse oocytes described a deep F-actin network where actin filaments nucleate and polymerize on the surface of scattered endosomes (Pfender et al., 2011; Schuh, 2011). The periodic spacing of axonal F-actin hotspots ( $\sim 3\text{--}4$   $\mu\text{m}$ ) is also reminiscent of stationary endosomal vesicles in axons (Fig. S4 A; Prekeris et al., 1999). Thus, we reasoned that the




Downloaded from jcb.rupress.org on July 27, 2015

**Figure 3.** 3D STORM imaging of actin reveals intra-axonal actin bundles. (A and A') Widefield image of a hippocampal neuron labeled with phalloidin (F-actin) and Neurofascin-186 (NF-186; to label the axon initiation segment [AIS], brackets). Proximal axon marked with arrowheads. (A') 3D-STORM image of the actin labeling corresponding to the boxed area in A. Color codes for Z depth from  $-300$  nm (blue) to  $300$  nm (red). Note Y-shaped proximal axon, as well as distal axons from other neurons. (B and C) Zoomed XY projections ( $300$ – $600$  nm thick) of the boxed regions (B and C) in image A'. Note that several longitudinal actin filaments can be seen within the axon shaft (arrowheads), in addition to periodic actin rings (comb). (B' and C') XZ slices ( $800$  nm thick) from axons shown in B and C (area within brackets) highlighting intra-axonal actin filaments (arrowheads, dashed ellipse localizes the axon boundary). (D) 3D STORM image of distal axons with a dendritic shaft on right. Color codes for Z depth from  $-300$  nm (blue) to  $300$  nm (red). (E and E') XY/XZ projections ( $300$ – $400$  nm thick) of the boxed regions E in image D. Note longitudinal actin filaments inside the distal axon (arrowheads), together with the periodic actin rings (comb). (F and F') Same imaging parameters and scales as previous, showing an axon segment with actin rings. Bars: (A)  $20$   $\mu$ m; (A' and D)  $5$   $\mu$ m; (B, C, and E-F')  $2$   $\mu$ m; (B' and C')  $500$  nm.

F-actin hotspots in our experiments may also be initiated by actin monomers nucleating on stationary axonal endosomes. To test this idea, we labeled F-actin (using GFP:Utr-CH) and endosomes in neurons and imaged axons by dual-color live imaging. As shown in Fig. 5 (A and B), F-actin hotspots were often colocalized with (or adjacent to) stationary endosomes, with several actin trails initiating precisely from locales where endosomes were situated (Fig. 5 A' shows an example). Next, we designed quantitative algorithms in MATLAB to determine the overlap between F-actin hotspots and stationary endosomes (see Mate-

rials and methods for details). Data from these analyses suggest that many F-actin hotspots colocalize with stationary axonal endosomes (Fig. 5 C, left). There is some innate variability in the frequencies of F-actin trails from axon to axon (Fig. 2 B; also seen with other cargoes, see Tang et al., 2013). We found that frequency of F-actin trails correlated with the number of stationary axonal endosomes (Fig. 5 C, right), further suggesting links between endosomes and actin dynamics. If actin trails were indeed dependent on vesicles, conditions attenuating axonal vesicles would also be expected to diminish actin trails.




**Figure 4. Axonal F-actin dynamics are dependent on actin turnover.** (A and B) Kymographs from an axon transfected with GFP:Utr-CH (to label F-actin) and imaged before/after treatment with 100 nM latrunculin or 100 nM jasplakinolide. (A) Note gradual change in the slopes of the F-actin trails over 15 min of incubation, indicating attenuated polymerization. Drug washout (W/O) restores F-actin dynamics (right). (B) Treatment with jasplakinolide for 10 min essentially eliminates the on/off kinetics, leading to stationary F-actin accumulations along axons. (C) Kymographs of F-actin dynamics before and after treatment with 10 μg/ml nocodazole (NOC; note minimal change; Fig. S3 A). (D–G) Quantification of all F-actin dynamics after pharmacological treatments. Note that in general, actin-modulating drugs [latrunculin A (LAT), cytochalasin-D, and jasplakinolide (JASP)] attenuate actin dynamics, whereas the microtubule-disrupting agent nocodazole has no effect. Also note increase in hotspot duration upon cytochalasin-D treatment is likely caused by the actin-capping effect of this agent (Cooper, 1987). Increase in hotspot duration upon jasplakinolide treatment may reflect hyperstabilization of F-actin dynamics. All experiments were performed before and after treating the same axon with the stated drug. For latrunculin treatment,  $n = 8$  axons; cytochalasin-D treatment,  $n = 8$  axons; jasplakinolide treatment,  $n = 6$  axons; and nocodazole treatment,  $n = 8$  axons were imaged. At least three independent repeats were performed for each condition. All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM; (\*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ ; \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ , paired *t* test). For detailed statistics, see Table S1. Arrows between images represent passage of time in before/after experiments.

To test this, we incubated neurons with Brefeldin-A (BFA), a drug that blocks endoplasmic reticulum to Golgi transport and attenuates vesicle transport in axons (Fig. S4, B and C; Jareb and Bunker, 1997; Tang et al., 2013). Indeed both frequency and dynamics of actin trails were dramatically attenuated upon BFA treatment and reappeared upon drug washout (Fig. 5, D and E). Some stationary accumulations of F-actin were still visible after BFA treatment—though the durations of these hotspots were

much prolonged—and might be a result of incomplete vesicle depletion by BFA treatment.

The extremely rapid rate of actin polymerization in our system ( $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$ ; Fig. 2 C) is striking, resembling rates previously observed for formin-mediated actin elongation in vitro (Vidali et al., 2009) and in cells (Higashida et al., 2004). Formins are a group of highly conserved proteins that bind to the barbed (growing) ends of actin filaments, promoting rapid nucleation



**Figure 5. Correlation of axonal F-actin dynamics and stationary endosomes.** (A) Kymographs from neurons transfected with GFP:Utr-CH (to label F-actin) and pHrodo (a pH-sensitive endosomal marker that largely labeled stationary endosomes in axons, see Materials and methods), simultaneously visualized by live imaging. Note colocalization of F-actin hotspots (green) with pHrodo (red, overlay on right). A' shows a zoomed ROI from overlay highlighting two actin trails originating precisely from where two stationary endosomes are situated (marked by asterisks). (B) Kymographs from neurons transfected with GFP:Utr-CH (to label F-actin) and Rab5:mCherry (to label early endosomes). Note colocalization of F-actin hotspots with early endosomes. (C, left) Quantification of colocalization data. The mean frequency of F-actin hotspots that overlapped with stationary endosomes labeled with pHrodo, Rab5-mRFP, and Lamp1-mCherry was  $46.81 \pm 2.76\%$  ( $n = 13$  axons),  $29.04 \pm 3.75\%$  ( $n = 10$  axons), and  $9.58 \pm 3.61\%$  ( $n = 6$  axons), respectively. (right) F-actin dynamics also correlated with number of pHrodo-positive endosomes in axons ( $n = 16$  axons). (D and E) Neurons were retransfected with GFP:Utr-CH (to label F-actin) and treated with Brefeldin-A (BFA) to deplete vesicles in axons (Fig. S4 B). Kymographs show that F-actin dynamics in axons were greatly attenuated upon BFA treatment and restored upon washout of the drug; quantified in E. All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM. \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ , one way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's post hoc test. For detailed statistics, see Table S1.

and elongation (Chesarone et al., 2010). To determine whether formins were involved in generating axonal F-actin dynamics, we incubated neurons with the selective small-molecule formin

inhibitor SMIFH2 (Rizvi et al., 2009) and examined F-actin dynamics in axons. Indeed, SMIFH2 attenuated the actin trails, whereas an inhibitor of another major actin nucleator Arp2/3

(CK666; Nolen et al., 2009) had no effect (Fig. 6, A and B). These data (quantified in Fig. 6, C–F) indicate that the elongation of actin trails is formin dependent. As formins are involved in both nucleation and elongation of actin (Chesarone et al., 2010), one might expect that SMIFH2 treatment would also inhibit the appearance of hotspots. Interestingly, however, not only were the hotspots present after SMIFH2 treatment, but in fact, there was an increase in their duration (Fig. 6 F). These data suggest that the nucleation of F-actin at the hotspots (presumably on endosomes) is not formin dependent, and the slight increase in hotspot duration upon SMIFH2 treatment might be a compensatory consequence of decreased actin trails emanating from the hotspots. Finally, SMIFH2 treatment had minimal effects on vesicle transport, as determined by live imaging of synaptophysin:mRFP, a marker for motile synaptic vesicles, or Neuropeptide-Y signal sequence (NPYss):mCherry, a marker for Golgi-derived vesicles (El Meskini et al., 2005; Kaech et al., 2012). These data strongly argue against nonspecific perturbation of axonal transport by SMIFH2 (Fig. S5, A and B). For detailed statistics, see Tables S1 and S2.

#### Presynaptic F-actin enrichment is formin dependent

Next, we looked at F-actin at presynaptic specializations along axons. Actin is highly enriched at presynapses, and several studies have reported that actin filaments are circumferentially organized as a cage-like structure surrounding the synaptic vesicle cluster (Landis et al., 1988; Hirokawa et al., 1989; Gotow et al., 1991; Saheki and De Camilli, 2012). These conclusions—based on imaging fixed boutons with phalloidin or examination of total actin pools tagged with GFP—have shaped a prevailing view that actin plays a scaffolding role at this locale (Bernstein et al., 1998; Morales et al., 2000; Sankaranarayanan et al., 2003). However, studies also suggest that actin has other roles at synapses, including sequestration of synaptic vesicles and facilitation of endocytosis (Cingolani and Goda, 2008; Chia et al., 2013; Christensen et al., 2013). To visualize organization of F-actin at live boutons, we transfected 9–12 DIV neurons with GFP:Utr-CH and synaptophysin:mRFP (to label F-actin and the synaptic vesicle cluster, respectively); located distal en passant boutons along the primary axon by synaptophysin:mRFP morphology (Fig. 7; Wang et al., 2014) and performed dual-color live imaging. As expected, steady-state F-actin accumulation at boutons was significantly greater than axons (mean diameters for GFP:Utr-CH-labeled puncta at boutons/axons were  $18.5 \pm 2.8/4.1 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{m}$ , respectively; sampling of ~20–50 Utr-CH:GFP puncta for each;  $P < 0.0001$ ).

Interestingly, though the overall distribution of F-actin was somewhat circumferential around the synaptic vesicles, the localization was highly dynamic, with microscopic synaptic patches of Utr-CH:GFP spontaneously appearing and disappearing over time (Fig. 7 A and Video 2). Also, actin trails were invariably seen in axon segments flanking boutons, and these trails often seemed to terminate at boutons, suggesting F-actin delivery, though the high levels of F-actin at boutons and overlapping tracks of actin trails at these locales made definitive conclusions difficult (Fig. 7 B, example kymograph). To evaluate actin delivery into boutons in a more systematic way, we designed a FRAP assay in which we photobleached GFP:Utr-CH in a single bouton within a string of en passant boutons and analyzed fluorescence recovery over time (see Materials and methods; Scott and Roy, 2012). Fluorescence recovery at boutons

occurred within minutes, suggesting rapid delivery of F-actin into these specializations (Fig. 7 C, left, though we cannot exclude diffusion/local assembly of unbound GFP:Utr-CH in these experiments). If the GFP:Utr-CH recovery was mediated by formin-dependent actin trails as predicted by our model, one would expect that inhibition of formins would also attenuate actin delivery into boutons. Indeed fluorescence recovery was attenuated in neurons treated with the formin inhibitor SMIFH2 (Fig. 7 C, right; quantified in Fig. 7 D). Moreover, SMIFH2 also attenuated steady-state Utr-CH:GFP levels in the synaptic patches (Fig. 7, E and F). In aggregate, these data argue that the formin-dependent actin dynamics in axons helps presynaptic enrichment of F-actin.

#### Formin inhibition disrupts synaptic vesicle recycling


Collectively, the aforementioned experiments suggest that axons have an intricate, dynamic formin-dependent deep F-actin network that help enrich F-actin at boutons. Enrichment of actin at synapses has been generally implicated in regulation of synaptic physiology (Cingolani and Goda, 2008; Waites et al., 2011) and more specifically in the myosin-dependent trafficking of synaptic vesicles to the plasma membrane (Ryan, 1999) and/or endocytosis (Shupliakov et al., 2002). Accordingly, we asked whether inhibition of formins—and consequent attenuation of synaptic F-actin levels—would influence synaptic vesicle recycling. Toward this we directly visualized synaptic recycling using the pH-sensitive probe vGlut-pHluorin, asking whether the formin-inhibitor SMIFH2 had any effect on the exo/endocytic kinetics (Fig. 8 A, schematic). The principle of the vGlut-pHluorin assay is that pH changes during activity-induced recycling of synaptic vesicles result in fluorescence fluctuations that can be readily quantified and provide a readout of synaptic vesicle exo/endocytosis (Voglmaier et al., 2006; Balaji and Ryan, 2007; Wang et al., 2014). Representative images from one such experiment is shown in Fig. 8 B and quantitative data in Fig. 8 (C and D). Note that treatment with SMIFH2 resulted in a dramatic attenuation of the exo/endocytic cycle, but the Arp2/3 inhibitor CK-666 had essentially no effects.

## Discussion

#### A dynamic F-actin network—a new model for axonal actin

Our experiments reveal a two-tier organization of axonal F-actin—with stable subplasmalemmal rings (originally described by Xu et al., 2013) that mechanically support the plasma membrane and dynamic intra-axonal filaments that helps enrich actin at synapses, sustaining physiology. Collectively, our data suggest a scenario in which actin monomers nucleate at discrete hotspots along axons, on the surface of stationary endosomes. Some of these hotspots lead to sustained formin-dependent actin assembly and elongation, resulting in dynamic deep actin polymers that can reach remarkable lengths of 30  $\mu\text{m}$  or more (actin trails; Fig. 9 A). Over time, the polymer disassembles or occasionally shrinks. Though individual filaments are dynamic, we posit that at steady state, they generate a robust cytoskeletal network that allows spatiotemporal control of actin levels throughout these long processes (Fig. 9 B).


In principle, the vesicle–actin network we postulate would allow rapid availability of actin to virtually any location within




**Figure 6. Axonal F-actin dynamics are Formin dependent.** (A) Kymographs from an axon transfected with GFP-Utr-CH (to label F-actin) and imaged before (left) and after (right) treatment with the formin-inhibitor SMIFH2. Note dramatic attenuation of the F-actin trails with little effect on F-actin hotspots, suggesting that the nucleation of F-actin at hotspots is spontaneous, and not formin dependent. (B) Similar experiments as in A, except that neurons were treated with the Arp2/3 inhibitor CK-666. Note that addition of CK-666 essentially has no effects on axonal F-actin dynamics. (C–F) Quantification of F-actin dynamics from all experiments. Note that treatment with SMIFH2 attenuates various kinetic behaviors of the F-actin trails, whereas CK-666 has no effects. Also note that SMIFH2 treatment leads to an increase in the hotspot duration, perhaps a compensatory response to attenuated actin trails (also see Results). For SMIFH2 treatment,  $n = 9$  axons and for CK-666 treatment,  $n = 6$  axons were imaged. At least three independent repeats were performed for each condition. All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM. \*,  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ ; \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ ; paired *t* test. For detailed statistics, see Table S1. Arrows between images represent passage of time in before/after experiments.

the axon, provided vesicles assisting actin nucleation events are present. Also, though dynamic, the axonal actin filaments are still likely able to support putative short-range myosin-driven axonal transport (Bridgman, 2009). Because these physiological events necessarily rely on dynamic actin pools and/or intra-axonal polymers oriented along the long axis, involvement


of rigid, circumferential cytoskeletal structures such as the subplasmalemmal actin rings seem unlikely. The vesicle–actin network that we describe may even be a common evolutionary strategy that equilibrates actin within large cells or elongated processes. Using GFP-Utr-CH in mouse oocytes, Pfender et al. (2011) and Schuh (2011) recently described a vesicle–actin net-



**Figure 7. Presynaptic F-actin dynamics are Formin dependent.** (A) Images (left) and kymographs (right) of boutons from neurons transfected with GFP:Utr-CH (to label F-actin) and synaptophysin:mRFP (SyPhy:mRFP to label synaptic vesicle clusters). Note that F-actin appears as dynamic patches, circumferentially organized around the synaptic vesicle cluster. (B) Same experiment as above, except GFP:Utr-CH kymographs are scaled to reveal actin trails terminating into boutons (small arrowheads). (C and D) Representative images from a FRAP assay to detect F-actin entry into boutons, quantified in D. Neurons were transfected with GFP:Utr-CH and F-actin-enriched boutons were identified; a single bouton (dashed circles) within a string of synapses was photobleached, and recovery of fluorescence was visualized over time (see Materials and methods for details). Note rapid recovery of F-actin that is attenuated upon formin inhibition by SMIFH2, indicating diminished entry of F-actin into boutons after formin inhibition. (E and F) Maximum intensity projection images from a representative synaptic bouton demonstrate reduction in total F-actin fluorescence upon SMIFH2. Graph in F shows that fluorescence intensities decrease from  $1,859 \pm 351.7$  to  $999.1 \pm 146.7$  arbitrary fluorescence units ( $n = 9$  boutons paired) upon SMIFH2 treatment for 30 min. All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM. Paired *t* test \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ .



**Figure 8. The Formin inhibitor SMIFH<sub>2</sub> suppresses synaptic recycling.** (A) Schematic of vGlut1-pHluorin experiments. SV refers to synaptic vesicle. (B) Representative panels show the fluorescence intensity change of vGlut1-pHluorin upon 600 action potential (AP) stimulation and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl perfusion. Note that NH<sub>4</sub>Cl alkalinizes all vesicles, revealing the total (recycling + resting) pool in these neurons. (C) Ensemble mean of vGlut1-pHluorin traces from control, 50  $\mu$ M CK-666, or 30  $\mu$ M SMIFH<sub>2</sub>-treated neurons ( $n$  = number of boutons). Note that although SMIFH<sub>2</sub> attenuates neurotransmitter release and decreases synaptic vesicle endocytosis compared with control, CK-666 has no effect, quantified in C (all data normalized to total pools). (D, left) Recycling: Total pool ratio for control =  $49.55 \pm 3.81\%$ ; CK-666 =  $45.65 \pm 3.72\%$ ; and SMIFH<sub>2</sub> =  $23.84 \pm 2.82\%$ . (middle) Exocytosis rate for control =  $0.017 \pm 0.002$ ; CK-666 =  $0.014 \pm 0.002$ ; and SMIFH<sub>2</sub> =  $0.007 \pm 0.001$ . (right) Endocytosis rate for control =  $0.007 \pm 0.002$ ; CK-666 =  $0.007 \pm 0.002$ ; and SMIFH<sub>2</sub> =  $0.001 \pm 0.001$ . All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM. (~100–200 boutons on 7–11 coverslips were analyzed for each group from three separate batches of cultures; \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ ; \*\*,  $P < 0.01$  compared with control by one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's post hoc test.)



**Figure 9. Model of axonal F-actin.** (A) Interpretative illustration derived from data. Actin monomers nucleate and polymerize at discrete microscopic zones in axons (light gray vertical bar). The hotspots are likely sites where actin nucleates on stationary endosomes. (B) Working model showing both subplasmalemmal actin rings and intra-axonal actin filaments. Note that actin monomers nucleate and extend polymers along the long axis of the axon, generating a steady-state scenario where actin is equilibrated along the axon, allowing spatiotemporal control throughout the long processes, and facilitating delivery into presynaptic boutons.

work where F-actin polymerizes on the surface of endosomes dispersed throughout the oocyte cytoplasm. Interestingly, this polymerization is also formin dependent (Schuh, 2011). Cyttoplasmic F-actin density in these oocytes vary with the stage of maturation, and the F-actin network density also correlated with endosomal abundance—suggesting that the vesicles were regulating the oocyte actin network (Pfender et al., 2011). These findings are strikingly reminiscent of our own data, in which endosomal abundance in axons correlates with F-actin dynamics (Fig. 5 C, right).

Another possibility is that the actin trails might be involved in assisting vesicle transport, analogous to “actin comets” in *Listeria monocytogenes* that are capable of transporting vesicles (Tilney and Portnoy, 1989). Though intriguing, this does not appear to be the case in axons. First, it is clear that axonal endosomal vesicles remain largely stationary, whereas actin trails elongate (Fig. 5, A and B), indicating that the actin trails have little effect on the motility of these organelles. Second, inhibition of the actin trails—either by jasplakinolide or the formin-inhibitor SMIFH2—does not have much effect on the axonal transport of Golgi-derived vesicles (Fig. S3 C and Fig. S5, A–C). Moreover disruption of microtubules—and microtubule-based transport—has no effect on axonal F-actin dynamics (Fig. 4, C–G; and Fig. S3 B).

#### The microanatomy of axonal F-actin

Precise knowledge of the organization, polarity, and dynamics of actin along axon shafts has been fuzzy for decades. Previous studies using extruded squid axons showed an extensive network of intra-axonal actin filaments (Fath and Lasek, 1988; Bearer and Reese, 1999). However, procedures used in these studies invariably disrupted the cytoskeletal organization, precluding definitive conclusions. For instance, myosin-S1 labeling—used to determine actin polarity—could only label a subset of filaments; nevertheless, Bearer and Reese (1999) noted “actin filaments with both polarities” along axons. In our experiments, actin filaments elongate both anterogradely and retrogradely along axons, and this would expectedly appear as bipolar filaments in fixed axons. However, our live observations also reveal that the organization is far more sophisticated than a simple stacking of bipolar actin filaments along axons as previously imagined, even though the exact polarity orientation of the elongating monomers remains unknown.

Though earlier EM experiments also showed a close apposition of microtubules and F-actin, disruption—and even fragmentation—of axonal microtubules had no effect on F-actin dynamics, suggesting that the F-actin axonal dynamics in our axons are largely independent of microtubules (Fig. 4, C–F; and Fig. S3, A and B). One possibility is that despite anatomical apposition, molecular mechanisms regulating F-actin dynamics are largely independent of microtubules. It is also possible that the relationship between microtubules and actin filaments is more intimate in the much thicker squid axons. Also, the lengths of axonal F-actin estimated by EM were small (~0.5–1  $\mu\text{m}$  on average; Bearer and Reese, 1999), leading to the view that actin filaments in axons are short (Letourneau, 2009). However, only a subset of filaments could be confidently evaluated in these EM experiments because of technical limitations, and postfixation preservation of the dynamic filaments (that are seen in our live imaging experiments) might also be an issue. In view of our live F-actin imaging and STORM data (using optimal fixation protocols for F-actin, see Materials and methods), it now

seems likely that intra-axonal actin filaments can grow on to much longer lengths than previously believed.

Our experiments suggest that the elongating actin polymers are dependent on formins, and not Arp2/3 (Fig. 6). Formins mediate elongation of long, unbranched actin filaments, whereas Arp2/3 extends branched F-actin polymers on the side of preexisting actin filaments (Goode et al., 2001), thus it seems logical that formins would mediate the long, rapidly elongating polymers seen in our experiments. Interestingly, the F-actin hotspots are not disrupted by formin inhibition (Fig. 6, A and F), suggesting that actin assembly on the surface of endosomes is not formin dependent. The colocalization of F-actin hotspots and endosomes in axons is often quite precise (Fig. 5, A and B); however, our data cannot exclude the possibility that both F-actin and endosomes bind to a common, yet unknown, structure/organelle in axons. Intriguingly, elongating actin trails often seem to “deposit” F-actin along axons during periods of elongation, perhaps by local depolymerization (Fig. 1 C, top kymograph and other figures)—though underlying mechanisms are unclear. Perhaps such local regulation reflects cell-biological strategies by which F-actin localizes and enriches along axons and synapses.

#### Organization and function of F-actin at presynaptic specializations

Our experiments also offer some insights into the organization and function of actin at presynapses. Previous studies examining steady-state F-actin at synapses have noted a cage-like distribution surrounding the synaptic vesicle cluster. Based largely on staining of fixed cells, this view has been held for some time, advocating a model in which F-actin acts as a scaffold at synapses (Wang et al., 1996; Bernstein et al., 1998; Morales et al., 2000; Sankaranarayanan et al., 2003). This actin scaffold has also been proposed to act as a “barrier” that restricts the trafficking of synaptic vesicles to the plasma membrane, suppressing vesicle recycling and exocytosis (Morales et al., 2000). However, other experiments suggest that actin–myosin networks are involved in transporting synaptic vesicles from the reserve pool to their fusion sites at the plasma membrane (Ryan, 1999) and/or play a role in endocytic trafficking of recycling synaptic vesicles (Shupliakov et al., 2002). Our live-imaging experiments of boutons along primary axons suggest dynamic F-actin patches around the synaptic vesicle cluster (Fig. 7 A and Video 2). Furthermore, our data suggest that F-actin synaptic patches are sustained by the actin trails. Specifically, attenuation of the actin trails by the formin-inhibitor SMIFH2: (a) decreased overall F-actin delivery to boutons as measured by FRAP (Fig. 7 D) and (b) decreased the intensity of the F-actin synaptic patches (Fig. 7 E).

The synaptic F-actin patches resemble transient F-actin localization along endocytic zones in the plasma membranes of other cell types (Michelot and Drubin, 2011). Along these lines, it is interesting to speculate that these “synaptic patches” might represent spontaneous endocytic events in resting neurons (Melom et al., 2013). Indeed, other studies have implicated actin in synaptic vesicle endocytosis. Detailed ultrastructural studies in the large lamprey reticulospinal synapse revealed long actin filaments connecting endocytic zones at the plasma membrane to single synaptic vesicles and vesicle clusters upon stimulation (Shupliakov et al., 2002). Recent studies also support a role for actin in rapid, nonclathrin-mediated “ultrafast” endocytosis (Watanabe et al., 2014). However, available data in-

dicate that actin likely has multiple roles at boutons, especially in assembling and organizing presynaptic components (Waites et al., 2011; Chia et al., 2013, 2014; Nelson et al., 2013), and it is also possible that these patches dynamically assist in such organization. Our pHluorin experiments indicate that inhibition of formins has a dramatic effect on synaptic recycling (Fig. 8). Given that SMIFH2 diminishes F-actin enrichment at boutons (Fig. 7, E and F), we hypothesize that the effects on recycling are a result of synaptic F-actin attenuation. However, a caveat in these experiments is that we cannot exclude a local effect of SMIFH2 at the synapse, or putative effects of this drug on the postsynapse, and more detailed studies are needed to clarify the functional roles of actin and formin at these locales.

In summary, our experiments reveal molecular details of a previously unappreciated dynamic pool of deep actin filaments in axons and offer new insights into the emerging picture of axonal actin. Collectively, the available data advocate a model in which stable subplasmalemmal actin rings mechanically support the axonal plasma membrane, and dynamic intra-axonal filaments support physiological processes critical for maintaining axonal and synaptic plasticity. Interestingly, this two-tier organization seems to result in a paradoxical cytoskeletal network that not only tolerates the incredible mechanical strains that long axons must endure but also allows the flexibility needed in a structure that is continuously shaped by intrinsic and extrinsic factors.

## Materials and methods

### DNA constructs, cell cultures, and transfections

GFP:Utr-CH was a gift from W. Bement (University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI). NPYss:mCherry, Synaptophysin-dsRed, and pHluorin constructs were gifts from G. Bunker (Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR), L. Lagnado (University of Sussex, Sussex, England, UK), and T. Ryan (Weill Cornell, New York, NY), respectively. DsRed-Mitochondria construct was obtained from Takara Bio Inc. Rab5:mRFP, Lamp1:mCherry, LifeAct:mTurquoise-2, and LifeAct:GFP were obtained from Addgene (from the laboratories of A. Helenius [ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland], A. Palmer [University of Colorado, Boulder, CO], D. Gadella [Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Amsterdam, Netherlands], and M. Davidson [Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL], respectively). The rabbit anti- $\beta$ -III-Tubulin antibody was a gift from P. Bass (Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA). Hippocampal cultures were obtained from brains of postnatal (P0–P1) CD-1 mice and plated on MatTek glass-bottom dishes as described previously in detailed published protocols (Roy et al., 2012; Ganguly and Roy, 2014), in accordance with University of California guidelines. In brief, MatTek dishes were coated with 100  $\mu$ l of 1 mg/ml poly-D-lysine for 2 h at RT, washed thrice with ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and air dried before plating. Hippocampi from P0–P1 pups were dissected in ice-cold dissection buffer (HBSS, 4.44 mM D-glucose, and 6.98 mM Hepes) and incubated in 0.25% Trypsin–EDTA at 37°C for 15 min. After this, neurons were dissociated in plating media (10% FBS and 90% Neurobasal/B27; Life Technologies) by trituration. Neurons were plated at a density of 50,000 cells/100  $\mu$ l (only for en passant bouton imaging) and at 25,000 cells/100  $\mu$ l (for all other experiments) of plating media. Neurons were maintained in Neurobasal/B27 media (supplemented with 2% B27 and 1% GlutaMAX) in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 7–9 d before transfection. Neurons were transfected with the indicated fluorescent constructs 7–9 DIV with Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies), and all live imaging was performed ~16–20 h after transfection. Trans-

fection parameters were strictly optimized for GFP:Utr-CH to avoid actin bundling (Fig. S1). Specifically, two criteria were followed. (1) Neurons were transfected with one third the amount of DNA routinely used in our laboratory (0.3  $\mu$ g vs. 1.2  $\mu$ g DNA in 5 ml Neurobasal/optim-MEM mixture used with Lipofectamine transfections; Ganguly and Roy, 2014), and (2) only neurons showing a fine speckled, discontinuous pattern of GFP:Utr-CH distribution in dendrites were chosen for imaging (see examples in Fig. S1). Using these criteria (low expression; imaging with high-sensitivity electron-multiplying charge-coupled device cameras), only about a third of transfected axons in a coverslip were suitable for imaging. Axonal F-actin dynamics were not seen in high overexpressers, and we found that it was critical to follow the aforementioned guidelines.

### Single- and dual-color live imaging of axons and synapses

Live-imaging experiments with GFP:Utr-CH and LifeAct:mTurquoise-2/GFP were performed using an inverted epifluorescence microscope (Eclipse Ti-E; Nikon) equipped with CFI S Fluor VC 40 $\times$  oil (NA 1.30; Nikon) and CFI Plan Apochromat VC 100 $\times$  oil (NA 1.40; Nikon) objectives. An electron-multiplying charge-coupled device camera (QuantEM:512SC; Photometrics) and LED illuminator (SPECTRA X; Lumencor) were used for all image acquisition. The system was controlled by Elements software (NIS Elements AR 4.13.00 64 bit; Nikon). Immediately before live imaging, neurons were transferred to a Hibernate-E-Low Fluorescence media (Brainbits, LLC), supplemented with 2% B27, 2 mM GlutaMAX, 0.4% D-glucose, and 37.5 mM NaCl (live imaging media) and maintained between 35.5–37°C (on a heated stage chamber, model STEV; World Precision Instrument, Inc.) for the duration of the experiment. Transfected axons were identified based on morphology using soluble mRFP (which was cotransfected with GFP:Utr-CH), and only neurons with unambiguous morphology, where axons could be confidently identified, were selected for imaging (Roy et al., 2012; Ganguly and Roy, 2014). Utr-CH and LifeAct were typically imaged at 1 frame/s (400-ms exposure, 20% LED power) for 10 min. For dual-color imaging, exciting red/green LED lights were rapidly switched (within microseconds) using the SPECTRA X LED illuminator, achieving near-simultaneous two-color imaging. A multi-band-pass filter (Chroma Technology Corp.) was inserted into the emission light path, and GFP/RFP images were obtained with precise subpixel registration. Exposures for both the green and red channels were set at 400 ms (20% LED power). For imaging en passant boutons, 9–12 DIV neurons were cotransfected with synaptophysin:dsRed and GFP:Utr-CH, and boutons were identified based on size of the synaptic vesicle cluster and morphology (Wang et al., 2014). Boutons along the primary axon, >150  $\mu$ m from the soma were selected for imaging. Additionally, axons selected for imaging had at least two or more en passant boutons in proximity. Mitochondrial dynamics along the axons was imaged at 3-s intervals (500-ms exposure) for a duration of 5 min as described earlier (Tang et al., 2012, 2013). Synaptophysin and NPYss vesicle dynamics along axons were imaged at 5 frames/s for 1 min. All video files were converted to 16 bit TIFF files in NIS Elements software. Kymographs of these 16 bit TIFF files were generated using the Kymograph function in MetaMorph Image Analysis software (Version 7.7, Molecular Devices, LLC). The brightness/contrast of kymographs was adjusted in MetaMorph (Molecular Devices). No other adjustments were made to any images unless otherwise mentioned. EGFP, mCherry, mRFP, dsRed, and mTurquoise fluorochromes were used for all live-imaging experiments.

### Pharmacologic experiments, pHRodo use, phalloidin staining, and immunohistochemistry

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless noted otherwise. Effects of all pharmacologic experiments (latrunculin, jasplakin-

oxide, cytochalasin-D, nocodazole, vincristine, SMIFH2, CK666, and BFA) were evaluated before/after drug addition, in a paired manner. Latrunculin A (Life Technologies) was stored as a 5-mM stock solution in DMSO and diluted to a final concentration of 100 nM in live imaging media. For washout experiments, culture dishes were rinsed (3×) with fresh live imaging media before imaging. Jasplakinolide (Life Technologies) was stored as a 1-mM stock in DMSO and diluted to a final concentration of 100 nM in live imaging media. To measure the distance between F-actin hotspots, neurons were transfected with GFP:Utr-CH and treated with 100 nM jasplakinolide for 15 min, leading to conversion of dynamic F-actin hotspots to static vertical lines in kymographs (Fig. 4 B), allowing easy quantification. Cytochalasin-D (1 mM stock) was diluted to a final concentration of 100 nM in live imaging media. The formin inhibitor SMIFH2 (Tocris Bioscience) was stored at 30 mM in DMSO and diluted to a final concentration of 30 μM in imaging media. Arp2/3 inhibitor CK-666 (EMD Millipore) was stored at 100 mM in DMSO, diluted to a final concentration of 50 μM. Nocodazole was stored as a 5-mg/ml stock solution in DMSO and diluted to a final concentration of 10 μg/ml. Vincristine sulfate was stored as a 5-mM stock in DMSO and diluted to 5 μM in imaging media. BFA was stored as a 5-mg/ml stock solution in ethanol at −20°C and diluted in imaging media to a final concentration of 1 μg/ml. Neurons were incubated for 12–16 h with BFA before imaging, and ethanol was used as controls in all BFA experiments.

Transferrin receptor-conjugated pHrodo (a pH-sensitive marker that is weakly fluorescent at neutral pH but increases fluorescence upon a drop in pH; P35376; Life Technologies) was used at a working concentration of 25 μg/ml in imaging media. Neurons were incubated with pHrodo for ≥30 min before imaging. Strikingly, pHrodo largely labeled the stationary endosomes in axons—with scant labeling of the motile population—and this feature was useful in our experiments. For phalloidin staining, neurons were fixed for 10 min with 4% paraformaldehyde in cytoskeleton buffer (10 mM MES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM glucose, and 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) at RT. Extraction was performed in PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min at RT. After this, neurons were blocked in 1% bovine serum albumin and 5% FBS for 2 h at RT. Subsequently, neurons were incubated with 0.5 μm rhodamine-phalloidin (R415; Life Technologies) in PBS for 1 h at RT, rinsed 2–3 times in PBS, and imaged. For immunostaining with βIII-Tubulin, neurons were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/sucrose solution in PBS for 10 min at RT, extracted in PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min (at RT), blocked for 2 h at RT in 1% bovine serum albumin and 5% FBS, and incubated with 1:500 anti-βIII-Tubulin antibody (rabbit polyclonal) diluted in PBS for 2 h at RT. After removal of primary antibody, neurons were blocked for 30 min at RT, incubated with goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (A-11037; Alexa Fluor 594) at 1:1,500 dilution for 1 h at RT and then mounted for imaging.

### 3D STORM imaging

Rat hippocampal neurons (14–16 DIV, from embryonic day 18 pups) were cultured on 18-mm coverslips at a density of 6,000/cm<sup>2</sup>, following guidelines established by the European Animal Care and Use Committee (86/609/CEE) and approval of the local ethics committee (agreement D13-055-8). To identify axon initial segments, neurons were labeled live with an antibody against an extracellular epitope of neurofascin-186 (monoclonal mouse anti-NF186 clone A12/18; NeuroMAB; Schafer et al., 2009). The antibody was added to the culture medium and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 7 min and rinsed twice with Neurobasal at RT. Neurons were then fixed with 0.3% glutaraldehyde and 0.25% Triton X-100 in cytoskeleton buffer, as described previously (Xu et al., 2013). After rinses in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, neurons were blocked for 30 min at RT in immunocytochemistry buf-

fer (0.22% gelatin and 0.1% Triton X-100 in phosphate buffer). After this, neurons were incubated with phalloidin–Alexa Fluor 647 (0.5 μM; Life Technologies) and Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse secondary antibody overnight at 4°C. After two quick rinses in immunocytochemistry buffer, neurons mounted in a live-cell imaging chamber and subjected to STORM to imaging.

Coverslips were placed in STORM buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8, 10 mM NaCl, 10% glucose, 100 mM mercaptoethylamine, 3.5 U/ml pyranose oxidase, 40 μg/ml catalase, and 2 mM cyclooctatriene) and imaged on a microscope (N-STORM; Nikon) equipped with a camera (Ixon DU-897; Andor Technology). For some experiments, 0.25 μM phalloidin was added in the STORM medium to mitigate progressive unbinding from actin filaments during imaging. After locating a suitable neuron using low-intensity illumination, epifluorescence images were acquired in both the green and far-red channels, following the axon up to ~120 μm from the cell body. For single-color imaging, the sample was continuously illuminated at 647 nm (full power) and a series of 60,000–100,000 images (256 × 256 pixels, 15-ms exposure time) were acquired with an astigmatic lens into the optical path to determine the Z position of fluorophores (Huang et al., 2008). The N-STORM software was used for the localization of single fluorophore activations. After filtering localizations with more than 900 photons, the list of localizations was exported as a text file. Image reconstructions were performed using the ThunderSTORM ImageJ plugin (National Institutes of Health; Ovesný et al., 2014) in Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012). Custom scripts and macros were used to translate localization files from N-STORM to ThunderSTORM formats, as well as automate images reconstruction for whole images, detailed zooms and XZ transverse projections. Measurement of the spacing periodicity was performed by fitting multiple 1-μm axon stretches ( $n = 111$ ) with a sinusoid, its period corresponding to an individual spacing measurement. The distribution of all spacing values were in turn fitted with a Gaussian curve, and the mean and standard deviation of this Gaussian fit are reported as the spacing measurement in the text.

### Image analysis and colocalization algorithms

To analyze GFP:Utr-CH kinetics, lines were drawn using the MetaMorph Image Analysis Software on the kymograph starting from the origin of appearance of the polymer (actin trail) and ending where the polymer stops elongating. Hotspots were identified by intensity peaks in kymographs from maximum-projection images. To quantify the overlap of F-actin hotspots with stationary endosomes, corresponding kymographs from each axon (GFP:Utr-CH or pHrodo/Rab5/Lamp1) were generated. Vertical lines were drawn manually in MetaMorph onto corresponding kymograph pairs to designate F-actin hotspots (GFP:Utr-CH kymograph) and stationary endosomes (pHrodo/Rab5/Lamp1 kymograph). Each stationary event had an x value and a range of y values corresponding to the spatial location and the duration of the events, respectively. The x and y values were used as inputs for a custom MATLAB script, written to determine the percentage of overlap between F-actin hotspots and stationary endosomes. Each event in the control (GFP:Utr-CH kymograph) was compared with all events in the condition (pHrodo/Rab5/Lamp1 kymograph) by a double pass overlap test. The y values were first tested and only those events with 50% or more overlap between the control and condition groups were considered for the x overlap analysis. During the x overlap test, x values from the condition which fell within  $x \pm 4 \mu\text{m}$  of the control were counted as positive. Only events which passed both these criteria were considered as positive. Percentage of overlap was generated using the number of events that overlapped between the control and condition kymographs, over the total number of events in the control kymograph. Because each event in the control was compared with every event in the condition,

overcounting of events was avoided by only counting those events in the control which matched at least one event in the condition. All data were analyzed using Prism software (GraphPad Software), and data are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM.

#### FRAP assay

FRAP experiments were performed on the inverted confocal microscope (FV1000 IX81; Olympus) equipped with a structured illumination microscopy scanner. Hippocampal neurons (9–12 DIV) were cotransfected with GFP:Utr-CH and synaptophysin-dsRed (to mark presynaptic boutons), and en passant boutons were identified. Dishes were incubated with either DMSO (control) or 30  $\mu$ M SMIFH2 in live imaging media for  $\geq$ 35 min before imaging. All imaging was performed at 35°C and within 50 min of drug incubation. Time-lapse videos were acquired at 1 frame/5 s, for a total of 120 frames, at 7% laser power (488 nm) and 500-ms exposure. Photobleaching of boutons was performed by using 100% laser power (405 nm) for 500 ms on the fifth frame of each time lapse for all experiments. After acquisition, all videos were background corrected in MetaMorph, and the mean fluorescence intensity of each bouton, across all frames, was recorded by drawing a region of interest (ROI) around each bouton. The mean fluorescence intensity of each frame of the time lapse was normalized to the mean intensity of the first five frames (prebleach) and plotted as a percentage.

#### vGLUT-pHluorin assay

These experiments were essentially performed as described previously (Wang et al., 2014). In brief, hippocampal neurons were electroporated with 0.3  $\mu$ g vGLUT1-pHluorin-mCherry cDNA before plating, using the P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector X kit S and the Amaxa 4D-Nucleofector System (Lonza). The volume of the cell suspension was 20  $\mu$ l per reaction, and the cell density ranged from  $10^7$  to  $1.5 \times 10^7$  cells/ml. Neurons were plated at a density of 60,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> on poly-D-lysine-coated coverslips after electroporation and cultured to maturity (DIV14–DIV21) before imaging. Coverslips were mounted on a magnetic chamber (Chamlide EC; Live Cell Instrument), at RT. As needed, neurons were incubated in Tyrode solution containing either 30  $\mu$ M SMIFH2, 50  $\mu$ M CK-666, or DMSO for 30 min before stimulation. Field stimulation was applied using an SD9-square-pulse stimulator (Grass Instruments) at 10 Hz for 60 s (10-V pulses). Images were acquired at 20% LED power, one frame every 3 s, with an exposure of 500 ms. For quantification, ROIs were placed on each bouton, and the mean intensity was obtained for each frame of the time lapse. Fluorescence intensity of a bouton at a given time point ( $F$ ) was normalized to  $F_0$  and  $F_{\text{max}}$  and expressed as a ratio of  $(F - F_0)/(F_{\text{max}} - F_0)$ .  $F_{\text{max}}$  was defined as the mean fluorescence of five frames with the highest fluorescence intensity after NH<sub>4</sub>Cl perfusion (frames 40–61). Baseline  $F_0$  was defined as the mean fluorescence of the 10 frames before stimulation. Frames 10–14 were used to calculate the rate of exocytosis, whereas frames 31–40 were used to calculate rate of endocytosis. All quantification was performed in MetaMorph analysis software.

#### Statistical analysis

All graphs were plotted and all statistical analyses were performed in Prism software.

#### Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the characterization of GFP:Utr-CH expression in neurons. Fig. S2 shows the dynamics of F-actin in axons marked by two independent probes, GFP:Utr-CH and LifeAct-mTurquoise2. Fig. S3 demonstrates that the disruption of microtubules by nocodazole and vincristine does not alter the dynamics of F-actin in axons. Fig. S4 shows that the treatment of axons with the drug BFA causes a complete

depletion of Golgi-derived vesicles in axons. Fig. S5 demonstrates that the formin inhibitor SMIFH2 minimally alters synaptophysin and NPY vesicle dynamics in axons. Tables S1 and S2 show details of all parameters analyzed (raw mean values  $\pm$  SEM, statistics, and number of repeats) for all the data shown in this manuscript. Video 1 shows the dynamics of F-actin trails marked by GFP:Utr-CH in an axon. Video 2 shows the transient F-actin dynamics in the circumference of an en passant bouton marked by the synaptic marker synaptophysin. Online supplemental material is available at <http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201506110/DC1>. Additional data are available in the JCB DataViewer at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201506110.dv>.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Anthony Brown (Ohio State University) for suggesting the use of Utr-CH, William Bement (University of Wisconsin) for technical advice, and Ivonne Shih (University of California, San Diego) for help with phalloidin staining experiments. We also acknowledge the University of California, San Diego Neuroscience Microscopy Shared Facility Grant (P30 NS047101) for confocal FRAP imaging.

This work was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke/National Institutes of Health (R01NS075233) to S. Roy.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**Author contributions:** A. Ganguly, Y. Tang, L. Wang, and S. Roy conceived and planned the experiments. A. Ganguly and Y. Tang performed most of the experiments and analyses; L. Wang performed the pHluorin experiments and also helped with others. C. Leterrier and B. Dargent conceived and performed the STORM experiments. K. Ladz performed immunostaining, postacquisition image analyses, and some of the live-imaging experiments. A. Ganguly, C. Leterrier, and S. Roy wrote the paper. J. Loi wrote the MATLAB algorithm for colocalization analysis and helped with DNA purifications.

Submitted: 22 June 2015


Accepted: 30 June 2015

#### References


- Balaji, J., and T.A. Ryan. 2007. Single-vesicle imaging reveals that synaptic vesicle exocytosis and endocytosis are coupled by a single stochastic mode. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 104:20576–20581. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707574105>
- Bearer, E.L., and T.S. Reese. 1999. Association of actin filaments with axonal microtubule tracts. *J. Neurocytol.* 28:85–98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1007025421849>
- Bernstein, B.W., M. DeWit, and J.R. Bamburg. 1998. Actin disassembles reversibly during electrically induced recycling of synaptic vesicles in cultured neurons. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 53:236–250. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-328X\(97\)00319-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-328X(97)00319-7)
- Black, M.M., and R.J. Lasek. 1979. Axonal transport of actin: slow component b is the principal source of actin for the axon. *Brain Res.* 171:401–413. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993\(79\)91045-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(79)91045-X)
- Bridgman, P.C. 2009. Myosin motor proteins in the cell biology of axons and other neuronal compartments. *Results Probl. Cell Differ.* 48:91–105.
- Bubb, M.R., A.M. Senderowicz, E.A. Sausville, K.L. Duncan, and E.D. Korn. 1994. Jasplakinolide, a cytotoxic natural product, induces actin polymerization and competitively inhibits the binding of phalloidin to F-actin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:14869–14871.
- Burkel, B.M., G. von Dassow, and W.M. Bement. 2007. Versatile fluorescent probes for actin filaments based on the actin-binding domain of utrophin. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton.* 64:822–832. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cm.20226>

- Chesarone, M.A., A.G. DuPage, and B.L. Goode. 2010. Unleashing formins to remodel the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11:62–74. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm2816>
- Chia, P.H., P. Li, and K. Shen. 2013. Cell biology in neuroscience: cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying presynapse formation. *J. Cell Biol.* 203:11–22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201307020>
- Chia, P.H., B. Chen, P. Li, M.K. Rosen, and K. Shen. 2014. Local F-actin network links synapse formation and axon branching. *Cell.* 156:208–220. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.12.009>
- Christensen, R., Z. Shao, and D.A. Colón-Ramos. 2013. The cell biology of synaptic specificity during development. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 23:1018–1026. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2013.07.004>
- Cingolani, L.A., and Y. Goda. 2008. Actin in action: the interplay between the actin cytoskeleton and synaptic efficacy. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 9:344–356. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn2373>
- Cooper, J.A. 1987. Effects of cytochalasin and phalloidin on actin. *J. Cell Biol.* 105:1473–1478. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.105.4.1473>
- D'Este, E., D. Kamin, F. Göttfert, A. El-Hady, and S.W. Hell. 2015. STED nanoscopy reveals the ubiquity of subcortical cytoskeleton periodicity in living neurons. *Cell Reports.* 10:1246–1251. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.02.007>
- Dent, E.W., S.L. Gupton, and F.B. Gertler. 2011. The growth cone cytoskeleton in axon outgrowth and guidance. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 3:a001800. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001800>
- El Meskini, R., L.B. Cline, B.A. Eipper, and G.V. Ronnett. 2005. The developmentally regulated expression of Menkes protein ATP7A suggests a role in axon extension and synaptogenesis. *Dev. Neurosci.* 27:333–348. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000086713>
- Fath, K.R., and R.J. Lasek. 1988. Two classes of actin microfilaments are associated with the inner cytoskeleton of axons. *J. Cell Biol.* 107:613–621. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.107.2.613>
- Field, C.M., and P. Lénárt. 2011. Bulk cytoplasmic actin and its functions in meiosis and mitosis. *Curr. Biol.* 21:R825–R830. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.07.043>
- Flynn, K.C., C.W. Pak, A.E. Shaw, F. Bradke, and J.R. Bamburg. 2009. Growth cone-like waves transport actin and promote axonogenesis and neurite branching. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 69:761–779. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dneu.20734>
- Galbraith, J.A., and P.E. Gallant. 2000. Axonal transport of tubulin and actin. *J. Neurocytol.* 29:889–911. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1010903710160>
- Ganguly, A., and S. Roy. 2014. Using photoactivatable GFP to track axonal transport kinetics. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1148:203–215. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0470-9\\_13](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0470-9_13)
- Gomez, T.M., and P.C. Letourneau. 2014. Actin dynamics in growth cone motility and navigation. *J. Neurochem.* 129:221–234. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jnc.12506>
- Goode, B.L., A.A. Rodal, G. Barnes, and D.G. Drubin. 2001. Activation of the Arp2/3 complex by the actin filament binding protein Abp1p. *J. Cell Biol.* 153:627–634. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.153.3.627>
- Gotow, T., K. Miyaguchi, and P.H. Hashimoto. 1991. Cytoplasmic architecture of the axon terminal: filamentous strands specifically associated with synaptic vesicles. *Neuroscience.* 40:587–598. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522\(91\)90143-C](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(91)90143-C)
- Higashida, C., T. Miyoshi, A. Fujita, F. Oceguera-Yanez, J. Monypenny, Y. Andou, S. Narumiya, and N. Watanabe. 2004. Actin polymerization-driven molecular movement of mDia1 in living cells. *Science.* 303:2007–2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1093923>
- Hirokawa, N. 1982. Cross-linker system between neurofilaments, microtubules, and membranous organelles in frog axons revealed by the quick-freeze, deep-etching method. *J. Cell Biol.* 94:129–142. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.94.1.129>
- Hirokawa, N., K. Sobue, K. Kanda, A. Harada, and H. Yorifuji. 1989. The cytoskeletal architecture of the presynaptic terminal and molecular structure of synapsin I. *J. Cell Biol.* 108:111–126. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.108.1.111>
- Huang, B., W. Wang, M. Bates, and X. Zhuang. 2008. Three-dimensional super-resolution imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. *Science.* 319:810–813. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1153529>
- Jareb, M., and G. Bunker. 1997. Inhibition of axonal growth by brefeldin A in hippocampal neurons in culture. *J. Neurosci.* 17:8955–8963.
- Kaech, S., C.F. Huang, and G. Bunker. 2012. Short-term high-resolution imaging of developing hippocampal neurons in culture. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc.* 2012:340–343.
- Ladt, K., A. Ganguly, and S. Roy. 2015. Axonal actin in action: imaging actin dynamics in neurons. *Methods Cell Biol.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.mcb.2015.07.003>
- Landis, D.M., A.K. Hall, L.A. Weinstein, and T.S. Reese. 1988. The organization of cytoplasm at the presynaptic active zone of a central nervous system synapse. *Neuron.* 1:201–209. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273\(88\)90140-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(88)90140-7)
- Letourneau, P.C. 2009. Actin in axons: stable scaffolds and dynamic filaments. *Results Probl. Cell Differ.* 48:65–90.
- Loudon, R.P., L.D. Silver, H.F. Yee Jr., and G. Gallo. 2006. RhoA-kinase and myosin II are required for the maintenance of growth cone polarity and guidance by nerve growth factor. *J. Neurobiol.* 66:847–867. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/neu.20258>
- Lukinavičius, G., L. Reymond, E. D'Este, A. Masharina, F. Göttfert, H. Ta, A. Güther, M. Fournier, S. Rizzo, H. Waldmann, et al. 2014. Fluorogenic probes for live-cell imaging of the cytoskeleton. *Nat. Methods.* 11:731–733. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2972>
- Melom, J.E., Y. Akbergenova, J.P. Gavornik, and J.T. Littleton. 2013. Spontaneous and evoked release are independently regulated at individual active zones. *J. Neurosci.* 33:17253–17263. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3334-13.2013>
- Michelot, A., and D.G. Drubin. 2011. Building distinct actin filament networks in a common cytoplasm. *Curr. Biol.* 21:R560–R569. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.06.019>
- Morales, M., M.A. Colicos, and Y. Goda. 2000. Actin-dependent regulation of neurotransmitter release at central synapses. *Neuron.* 27:539–550. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)00064-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00064-7)
- Morton, W.M., K.R. Ayscough, and P.J. McLaughlin. 2000. Latrunculin alters the actin-monomer subunit interface to prevent polymerization. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2:376–378. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35014075>
- Nelson, J.C., A.K. Stavoe, and D.A. Colón-Ramos. 2013. The actin cytoskeleton in presynaptic assembly. *Cell Adhes. Migr.* 7:379–387. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/cam.24803>
- Nolen, B.J., N. Tomasevic, A. Russell, D.W. Pierce, Z. Jia, C.D. McCormick, J. Hartman, R. Sakowicz, and T.D. Pollard. 2009. Characterization of two classes of small molecule inhibitors of Arp2/3 complex. *Nature.* 460:1031–1034. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08231>
- Okabe, S., and N. Hirokawa. 1990. Turnover of fluorescently labelled tubulin and actin in the axon. *Nature.* 343:479–482. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/343479a0>
- Ovesný, M., P. Křížek, J. Borkovec, Z. Svindrych, and G.M. Hagen. 2014. ThunderSTORM: a comprehensive ImageJ plug-in for PALM and STORM data analysis and super-resolution imaging. *Bioinformatics.* 30:2389–2390. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu202>
- Pfender, S., V. Kuznetsov, S. Pleiser, E. Kerkhoff, and M. Schuh. 2011. Spire-type actin nucleators cooperate with Formin-2 to drive asymmetric oocyte division. *Curr. Biol.* 21:955–960. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.04.029>
- Prekeris, R., D.L. Foletti, and R.H. Scheller. 1999. Dynamics of tubulovesicular recycling endosomes in hippocampal neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 19:10324–10337.
- Riedl, J., A.H. Crevenna, K. Kessenbrock, J.H. Yu, D. Neukirchen, M. Bista, F. Bradke, D. Jenne, T.A. Holak, Z. Werb, et al. 2008. Lifeact: a versatile marker to visualize F-actin. *Nat. Methods.* 5:605–607. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1220>
- Rizvi, S.A., E.M. Neidt, J. Cui, Z. Feiger, C.T. Skau, M.L. Gardel, S.A. Kozmin, and D.R. Kovar. 2009. Identification and characterization of a small molecule inhibitor of formin-mediated actin assembly. *Chem. Biol.* 16:1158–1168. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2009.10.006>
- Roy, S., G. Yang, Y. Tang, and D.A. Scott. 2012. A simple photoactivation and image analysis module for visualizing and analyzing axonal transport with high temporal resolution. *Nat. Protoc.* 7:62–68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2011.428>
- Ruthel, G., and G. Bunker. 1998. Actin-dependent anterograde movement of growth-cone-like structures along growing hippocampal axons: a novel form of axonal transport? *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton.* 40:160–173. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0169\(1998\)40:2<160::AID-CM5>3.0.CO;2-J](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0169(1998)40:2<160::AID-CM5>3.0.CO;2-J)
- Ryan, T.A. 1999. Inhibitors of myosin light chain kinase block synaptic vesicle pool mobilization during action potential firing. *J. Neurosci.* 19:1317–1323.
- Saheki, Y., and P. De Camilli. 2012. Synaptic vesicle endocytosis. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 4:a005645. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a005645>
- Sankaranarayanan, S., P.P. Atluri, and T.A. Ryan. 2003. Actin has a molecular scaffolding, not propulsive, role in presynaptic function. *Nat. Neurosci.* 6:127–135. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn1002>



- Schafer, D.P., S. Jha, F. Liu, T. Akella, L.D. McCullough, and M.N. Rasband. 2009. Disruption of the axon initial segment cytoskeleton is a new mechanism for neuronal injury. *J. Neurosci.* 29:13242–13254. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3376-09.2009>
- Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, et al. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods.* 9:676–682. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019>
- Schnapp, B.J., and T.S. Reese. 1982. Cytoplasmic structure in rapid-frozen axons. *J. Cell Biol.* 94:667–669. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.94.3.667>
- Schuh, M. 2011. An actin-dependent mechanism for long-range vesicle transport. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13:1431–1436. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb2353>
- Scott, D., and S. Roy. 2012.  $\alpha$ -Synuclein inhibits intersynaptic vesicle mobility and maintains recycling-pool homeostasis. *J. Neurosci.* 32:10129–10135. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0535-12.2012>
- Scott, D.A., U. Das, Y. Tang, and S. Roy. 2011. Mechanistic logic underlying the axonal transport of cytosolic proteins. *Neuron.* 70:441–454. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.022>
- Shupliakov, O., O. Bloom, J.S. Gustafsson, O. Kjaerulff, P. Low, N. Tomilin, V.A. Pieribone, P. Greengard, and L. Brodin. 2002. Impaired recycling of synaptic vesicles after acute perturbation of the presynaptic actin cytoskeleton. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 99:14476–14481. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.212381799>
- Tang, Y., D.A. Scott, U. Das, S.D. Edland, K. Radomski, E.H. Koo, and S. Roy. 2012. Early and selective impairments in axonal transport kinetics of synaptic cargoes induced by soluble amyloid  $\beta$ -protein oligomers. *Traffic.* 13:681–693. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2012.01340.x>
- Tang, Y., D. Scott, U. Das, D. Gitler, A. Ganguly, and S. Roy. 2013. Fast vesicle transport is required for the slow axonal transport of synapsin. *J. Neurosci.* 33:15362–15375. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1148-13.2013>
- Tilney, L.G., and D.A. Portnoy. 1989. Actin filaments and the growth, movement, and spread of the intracellular bacterial parasite, *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Cell Biol.* 109:1597–1608. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.109.4.1597>
- Vidali, L., P.A. van Gisbergen, C. Guérin, P. Franco, M. Li, G.M. Burkart, R.C. Augustine, L. Blanchoin, and M. Bezanilla. 2009. Rapid formin-mediated actin-filament elongation is essential for polarized plant cell growth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 106:13341–13346. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901170106>
- Voglmaier, S.M., K. Kam, H. Yang, D.L. Fortin, Z. Hua, R.A. Nicoll, and R.H. Edwards. 2006. Distinct endocytic pathways control the rate and extent of synaptic vesicle protein recycling. *Neuron.* 51:71–84. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.05.027>
- Waites, C.L., S.A. Leal-Ortiz, T.F. Andlauer, S.J. Sigrist, and C.C. Garner. 2011. Piccolo regulates the dynamic assembly of presynaptic F-actin. *J. Neurosci.* 31:14250–14263. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1835-11.2011>
- Wang, X.H., J.Q. Zheng, and M.M. Poo. 1996. Effects of cytochalasin treatment on short-term synaptic plasticity at developing neuromuscular junctions in frogs. *J. Physiol.* 491:187–195. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1996.sp021206>
- Wang, L., U. Das, D.A. Scott, Y. Tang, P.J. McLean, and S. Roy. 2014.  $\alpha$ -Synuclein multimers cluster synaptic vesicles and attenuate recycling. *Curr. Biol.* 24:2319–2326. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.08.027>
- Watanabe, S., T. Trimbuch, M. Camacho-Pérez, B.R. Rost, B. Brokowski, B. Söhl-Kielczynski, A. Felies, M.W. Davis, C. Rosenmund, and E.M. Jorgensen. 2014. Clathrin regenerates synaptic vesicles from endosomes. *Nature.* 515:228–233. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13846>
- Willard, M., M. Wiseman, J. Levine, and P. Skene. 1979. Axonal transport of actin in rabbit retinal ganglion cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 81:581–591. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.81.3.581>
- Xu, K., G. Zhong, and X. Zhuang. 2013. Actin, spectrin, and associated proteins form a periodic cytoskeletal structure in axons. *Science.* 339:452–456. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1232251>
- Zhong, G., J. He, R. Zhou, D. Lorenzo, H.P. Babcock, V. Bennett, and X. Zhuang. 2014. Developmental mechanism of the periodic membrane skeleton in axons. *eLife.* 3. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04581>




**Figure S1. Characterization of GFP:Utr-CH expression in neurons.** (A) Axon of a neuron transfected with GFP:Utr-CH and stained with rhodamine-phalloidin. Note that the punctate pattern of GFP:Utr-CH fluorescence precisely coincides with rhodamine-phalloidin staining in the same axon (a few puncta are marked with large arrowheads). The smaller arrowheads mark the phalloidin staining in an untransfected axon, and there were no apparent differences between the phalloidin staining in transfected and untransfected neurons. (B) Another neuron transfected with GFP:Utr-CH and stained with rhodamine-phalloidin. Note the low GFP fluorescence in the soma and dendrites, and the precise colocalization of GFP:Utr-CH and rhodamine-phalloidin puncta. (C) A neuron fixed and stained with labeled phalloidin. Note punctate staining of endogenous F-actin (arrowheads). (D) An example of a neuron expressing high levels of GFP:Utr-CH. At high concentrations, Utr-CH stabilizes actin filaments. Note F-actin “cables” distributed throughout the neuron (scaled image on right), distinct from the punctate distribution seen in lower expressers. No movement of F-actin was discernable in such neurons at all. Bars, 10  $\mu$ M.




**B Representative LifeAct-mTorquise2 kymographs**



**D Axon (GFP:Utr-CH) used to make kymographs in fig. 4A**




**Figure S2. Kymographs from F-actin imaging in axons with two independent probes.** (A) Kymographs from three independent axons demonstrate consistent GFP:Utr-CH dynamics, across transfected axons. Note the F-actin hotspots and F-actin trails. (B) Representative kymographs from two LifeAct-mTurquoise2-transfected axons. Note that the overall F-actin kinetics are similar to those seen with GFP:Utr-CH, though there is a higher soluble pool, likely caused by the relatively low binding affinity of LifeAct compared with Utr-CH (Field and Lénárt, 2011). (C) Frequency distribution plots of both polymer length ( $10.89 \pm 0.27 \mu\text{m}$ , 448 events from 19 axons) and elongation rate ( $0.85 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}/\text{s}$ , 448 events from 19 axons) for all LifeAct-transfected axons imaged. (D) The axon (transfected with GFP:Utr-CH, first frame of the video) used to make the kymographs shown in Fig. 4 A. Antero, anterograde; Retro, retrograde.




**Figure S3. Microtubule disruption does not alter F-actin dynamics.** (A) Neurons were cotransfected with a vesicle-marker (NPYss:mCherry) and GFP:Utr-CH. GFP and mCherry dynamics were evaluated by live imaging before and after adding 10 µg/ml nocodazole. Note that the 30-min incubation with nocodazole (Noc) almost completely blocked vesicle transport (left) but had no obvious effect on F-actin kinetics (right). (B) The microtubule-disrupting drug vincristine (5 µM), when incubated with neurons for 60 min results in the formation of tubulin paracrystals, seen after staining for Tubulin-βIII in axons (top). Note that the formation of tubulin paracrystals does not alter GFP:Utr-CH dynamics. Bottom shows representative kymographs from an axon, before and after vincristine treatment. (B') Quantification of GFP:Utr-CH dynamics before and after 5 µM vincristine treatment ( $n = 6$  axons imaged, for detailed statistics see Table S1). (C) Neurons were transfected with synaptophysin:dsRed, a vesicle marker and incubated with jasplakinolide. Representative kymographs from an axon before and after 100 nM jasplakinolide (Jasplak) treatment for 15 min show no effect on vesicle movement. Note that a similar treatment completely obliterates F-actin trails (Fig. 4 B). (C') Quantification of synaptophysin-dsRed vesicle dynamics before and after 100 nM jasplakinolide treatment. Note that there is no attenuation of vesicle transport ( $n = 6$  axons imaged, for detailed statistics see Table S2). (D and D') DMSO, a solvent used to dissolve some reagents in this study did not have any effects on GFP:Utr-CH dynamics. Representative kymograph from an axon and summary statistics in D' ( $n = 3$  axons imaged, for detailed statistics see Table S1). All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM. Paired *t* test. \*,  $P < 0.05$ .



### A Rab5:mCherry imaging



### B CONTROL      BFA-TREATED




### C Effects of BFA on mitochondria




**Figure S4. BFA treatment leads to depletion of Golgi-derived vesicle in axons.** (A) An exemplary kymograph showing kinetics of Rab5:mCherry in axons. Note that a large number of endosomes are largely stationary along axons, at regularly spaced intervals. (B, top) Representative axonal segments from synaptophysin-dsRed-transfected neurons treated either with 1 μg/ml BFA for 12–16 h or ethanol (solvent control). Note depletion of vesicles in the BFA-treated axon. (bottom) Kymographs for the respective axonal segments. (C) 1 μg/ml BFA treatment for 12–16 h has no deleterious effect on mitochondrial dynamics, though there was a slight increase in the mobile population. Representative kymographs (left) and the quantified data (right, for detailed statistics, see Table S2). For BFA treatment,  $n = 10$  axons were imaged and for ethanol treatment,  $n = 11$  axons were imaged. All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM. Unpaired  $t$  test. \*,  $P < 0.05$ .


### A Effect of SMIFH2 on synaptophysin transport in axons



### B Quantification of synaptophysin transport



### C Quantification of NPYss transport



**Figure S5. Formin inhibitor (SMIFH2) has minimal effect on vesicle dynamics in axons.** (A) Representative kymographs from a synaptophysin-dsRed (vesicle marker) transfected axon, before and after 30  $\mu$ M SMIFH2 for 30 min. Note SMIFH2 has no obvious effect on vesicle trafficking. (B and C) Quantification of SMIFH2 on synaptophysin-dsRed and NPY-ss:mCherry vesicle dynamics reveals minimal changes upon drug treatment (for detailed statistics, see Table S2). For SMIFH2 treatment on synaptophysin-dsRed vesicles,  $n = 10$  axons were imaged and for SMIFH2 treatment on NPY-ss:mCherry vesicles,  $n = 7$  axons were imaged. All values represent means  $\pm$  SEM. Paired t test. \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ ; \*,  $P < 0.05$ . Antero, anterograde; Retro, retrograde; Stat, stationary.

Table S1. Quantification of F-actin dynamics

Parameters	Frequency (mean ± SEM)	Elongation rate (mean ± SEM)	Polymer length (mean ± SEM)	Hotspot duration (mean ± SEM)	Axons imaged	Figure in paper	Experiment repeats
<i>μm/s</i>							
<b>GFP-Utr:CH (F-actin) dynamics</b>							
Before Latrunculin A	26.38 ± 3.65	0.92 ± 0.04	9.2 ± 0.53	72.94 ± 7.42	8	Fig. 4, D–G	3
After 100 nM Latrunculin A (30 min)	13.88 ± 2.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.14 ± 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	5.27 ± 0.39 <sup>b</sup>	153.1 ± 41.86	8	Fig. 4, D–G	3
Before Cytochalasin-D	37.25 ± 3.15	0.85 ± 0.02	8.38 ± 0.33	79.19 ± 8.11	8	Fig. 4, D–G	3
After 1 μM Cytochalasin-D (30 min)	5.75 ± 0.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.52 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	4.13 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>	273.4 ± 27.41 <sup>b</sup>	8	Fig. 4, D–G	3
Before Jasplakinolide	26.83 ± 4.93	0.89 ± 0.04	8.29 ± 0.63	140.2 ± 15.48	6	Fig. 4, D–G	3
After 100 nM Jasplakinolide (10 min)	0 <sup>a</sup>	0	0	506.1 ± 30.44 <sup>b</sup>	6	Fig. 4, D–G	3
Before Nocodazole	21.63 ± 1.90	0.79 ± 0.01	8.90 ± 0.53	101.8 ± 8.10	8	Fig. 4, D–G	4
After 10 μg/ml Nocodazole (60 min)	20.38 ± 3.22	0.74 ± 0.02	8.06 ± 0.41	128.8 ± 18.48	8	Fig. 4, D–G	4
Before Vincristine	32.17 ± 3.94	0.88 ± 0.03	8.36 ± 0.36	81.43 ± 8.90	6	Fig. S3 B'	2
After 5 μM Vincristine (30 min)	35.33 ± 3.68	0.82 ± 0.04	7.45 ± 0.38 <sup>c</sup>	84.54 ± 9.96	6	Fig. S3 B'	2
Before SMIFH2	31.56 ± 3.41	0.92 ± 0.03	8.69 ± 0.50	79.39 ± 5.86	9	Fig. 6, C–F	4
After 30 μM SMIFH2 (30 min)	8.55 ± 0.86 <sup>b</sup>	0.66 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	6.76 ± 0.48 <sup>c</sup>	177.6 ± 25.58 <sup>a</sup>	9	Fig. 6, C–F	4
Before CK666	24.33 ± 3.01	0.84 ± 0.06	7.90 ± 0.72	87.39 ± 8.99	6	Fig. 6, C–F	3
After 50 μM CK666 (30 min)	28.0 ± 3.24	0.92 ± 0.06	8.19 ± 0.60	71.62 ± 5.94 <sup>c</sup>	6	Fig. 6, C–F	3
Before DMSO	26.00 ± 5.13	0.95 ± 0.04	10.07 ± 1.33	85.17 ± 2.33	3	Fig. S3 D'	2
After DMSO	27.0 ± 4.16	0.87 ± 0.04	9.83 ± 1.34	69.58 ± 7.43	3	Fig. S3 D'	2
Utr-GFP:CH (+EtOH; solvent for BFA)	34.47 ± 3.05	0.89 ± 0.01	7.73 ± 0.20	59.58 ± 3.02	17	Fig. 5 E	3
Utr-GFP:CH (+1 μg/ml BFA for 12–16 h)	10.85 ± 1.80 <sup>d</sup>	0.65 ± 0.01 <sup>d</sup>	5.75 ± 0.28 <sup>d</sup>	146.6 ± 6.58 <sup>d</sup>	27	Fig. 5 E	3
Utr-GFP:CH (+1 μg/ml BFA) after washout	33.57 ± 7.54	0.90 ± 0.02	7.34 ± 0.32	78.34 ± 6.88	7	Fig. 5 E	3
<b>Lifeact-mTorquise2 dynamics</b>							
Lifeact-mTorquise2 (no treatment)	23.63 ± 2.99	0.85 ± 0.01	10.89 ± 0.27	155.3 ± 9.27	19	Fig. S2 C	3

Frequency refers to number of F-actin trails/10 min of imaging.

<sup>a</sup>Paired *t* test. P < 0.01.<sup>b</sup>Paired *t* test. P < 0.001.<sup>c</sup>Paired *t* test. P < 0.05.<sup>d</sup>One-way analysis of variance. P < 0.001.

Table S2. Quantification of vesicle and mitochondrial transport

Parameters	Frequency of particles	Velocity (mean $\pm$ SEM)	Run length (mean $\pm$ SEM)	Axons imaged	Figure in manuscript	Experiment repeats
	%	$\mu\text{m}/\text{s}$	$\mu\text{m}$			
<b>Synaptophysin (p38-dsRed) dynamics</b>						
Before SMIFH2 (anterograde)	49.19 $\pm$ 3.63	2.15 $\pm$ 0.13	9.38 $\pm$ 1.34	10	Fig. S5 B	3
Before SMIFH2 (retrograde)	27.73 $\pm$ 4.14	2.12 $\pm$ 0.11	6.85 $\pm$ 0.75	10	Fig. S5 B	3
Before SMIFH2 (stationary)	23.08 $\pm$ 5.72	NA	NA	10	Fig. S5 B	3
After 30 $\mu\text{M}$ SMIFH2 (anterograde)	61.34 $\pm$ 5.82 <sup>a</sup>	2.02 $\pm$ 0.10	7.97 $\pm$ 1.40	10	Fig. S5 B	3
After 30 $\mu\text{M}$ SMIFH2 (retrograde)	12.98 $\pm$ 2.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.74 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>b</sup>	4.34 $\pm$ 0.46 <sup>b</sup>	10	Fig. S5B	3
After 30 $\mu\text{M}$ SMIFH2 (stationary)	25.68 $\pm$ 6.28	NA	NA	10	Fig. S5 B	3
Before Jasplakinolide (anterograde)	34.83 $\pm$ 8.51	1.06 $\pm$ 0.05	13.86 $\pm$ 0.48	6	Fig. S3 C'	2
Before Jasplakinolide (retrograde)	17.83 $\pm$ 5.52	0.84 $\pm$ 0.07	9.55 $\pm$ 0.81	6	Fig. S3 C'	2
Before Jasplakinolide (stationary)	4.83 $\pm$ 0.54	NA	NA	6	Fig. S3 C'	2
After 100 nM Jasplakinolide (anterograde)	41.5 $\pm$ 7.27	1.16 $\pm$ 0.09	17.53 $\pm$ 1.22 <sup>a</sup>	6	Fig. S3 C'	2
After 100 nM Jasplakinolide (retrograde)	13.17 $\pm$ 3.33	0.91 $\pm$ 0.04	9.11 $\pm$ 0.06	6	Fig. S3 C'	2
After 100 nM Jasplakinolide (stationary)	7.5 $\pm$ 0.67	NA	NA	6	Fig. S3 C'	2
<b>Mitochondria (Mito-dsRed) dynamics</b>						
Control (+EtOH); mobile particles	20.80 $\pm$ 3.49	Anterograde 0.56 $\pm$ 0.04; retrograde 0.72 $\pm$ 0.07	Anterograde 12.10 $\pm$ 1.49; retrograde 11.33 $\pm$ 1.99 $\mu\text{m}$	11	Fig. S4 C	2
Control (+EtOH); stationary particles	79.20 $\pm$ 3.49	NA	NA	11	Fig. S4 C	2
After 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ BFA (12–16 h); mobile particles	39.37 $\pm$ 6.23 <sup>a</sup>	Anterograde 0.46 $\pm$ 0.03; retrograde 0.55 $\pm$ 0.05	Anterograde 9.81 $\pm$ 1.03 $\mu\text{m}$ ; retrograde 11.63 $\pm$ 1.47	10	Fig. S4 C	2
After 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ BFA (12–16 h); stationary particles	60.63 $\pm$ 6.23 <sup>a</sup>	NA	NA	10	Fig. S4 C	2
<b>Golgi-derived vesicles (NPYss-mCherry) dynamics</b>						
Before SMIFH2 (anterograde)	70.67 $\pm$ 4.21	1.93 $\pm$ 0.08	6.73 $\pm$ 0.44	7	Fig. S5 C	2
Before SMIFH2 (retrograde)	22.11 $\pm$ 4.44	1.55 $\pm$ 0.13	5.06 $\pm$ 0.34	7	Fig. S5 C	2
Before SMIFH2 (stationary)	7.22 $\pm$ 2.06	NA	NA	7	Fig. S5 C	2
After 30 $\mu\text{M}$ SMIFH2 (anterograde)	69.71 $\pm$ 3.29	1.84 $\pm$ 0.09	5.59 $\pm$ 0.23	7	Fig. S5 C	2
After 30 $\mu\text{M}$ SMIFH2 (retrograde)	20.64 $\pm$ 3.29	1.49 $\pm$ 0.07	4.30 $\pm$ 0.25	7	Fig. S5 C	2
After 30 $\mu\text{M}$ SMIFH2 (stationary)	9.64 $\pm$ 2.20	NA	NA	7	Fig. S5 C	2


NA, not available.

<sup>a</sup>Unpaired *t* test. P < 0.05.<sup>b</sup>Unpaired *t* test. P < 0.01.

**Video 1. Video of GFP:Utr-CH kinetics in an axon.** The kymograph in Fig. 1 B was generated from this video. Frame rate was 1 frame/s and playback rate is 10 frames/s. Total time of the movie is 600 s. Note: (a) rapidly moving fluorescent F-actin trails (few marked with arrowheads) and (b) hotspots of F-actin dispersed along the axon.



**Video 2. Video of GFP:Utr-CH kinetics in an en passant synaptic bouton.** Left bouton corresponding to Fig. 7 A. Greyscale frames of GFP:Utr-CH on left and corresponding overlaid frames of GFP:Utr-CH (green)/synaptophysin-mRFP (red) on right. Frame rate was 1 frame/s and playback rate is 10 frames/s. Total time of the movie is 600 s. Note transient patches of F-actin circumferentially surrounding the synaptic vesicle cluster (labeled by synaptophysin).



## Reference

Field, C.M., and P. Lénárt. 2011. Bulk cytoplasmic actin and its functions in meiosis and mitosis. *Curr. Biol.* 21:R825–R830. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.07.043>

